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Meeting summary

Please see a list of decision and action items deriving from the meeting on pp. 13-15.

Session 1.1: Welcome

Judy Kuszewski, the GSSB Chair (henceforth, “the Chair”) welcomed everyone to the meeting and presented an overview of the meeting agenda.

The Chair moved for approval of Item 01 – Summary 23 February GSSB meeting and Item 02 – Summary 07 March GSSB meeting.

The GSSB unanimously approved the meeting summaries with no further changes.

The Chair further moved for appointment of the remaining labor candidate, a senior researcher from European Trade Union Institute (ETUI), for the Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) Project Working Group (PWG). The GSSB unanimously approved the appointment.

Session 1.2: Update on activities GRI Standards Division

Bastian Buck, Director Standards Division, presented an overview of the progress against the ten priority work areas outlined in the GSSB Work Program 2017-2019 and the related activities of the GRI Standards Division.

The GSSB discussed:

- The benefit of local language versions of the GRI Standards versus the related short and longer term cost implications.
- The importance of reducing the reporting burden and increasing the uptake of the GRI Standards e.g. through linkage documents and collaboration with other organizations.
- That the development of the sector-specific content should be based on the program approved by GSSB and build on existing GRI content as well as other frameworks and established practice in the reporting landscape.
- The interest from the market in having GRI develop additional guidance on assurance to ensure more credible and high-quality reporting.

Session 1.3: Discuss technical enquiries and GRI’s monitoring approach

Chelsea Reinhardt, Deputy Director Standards Division, presented an overview of recent technical enquiries. Ms. Reinhardt sought the GSSB’s advice on whether the ‘published materials’ in Section 3 of GRI 101: Foundation, implies that a claim statement is required in all published materials or only those that would constitute the ‘primary’ sustainability report.
The GSSB discussed the intention of the requirement and agreed that no additional clarification was required at this point. The GSSB advised that the Standards application claim should be included where deemed most relevant by the reporter. The GSSB agreed that the Standards Division should continue to refer any enquiries to the relevant text in GRI 101 and confirm that it is up to the reporters’ interpretation how to apply these requirements.

The GSSB members agreed that all members should receive materials on guidance that might be considered controversial; while a standing GSSB Sub-committee is dedicated to review and provide feedback on a timely basis. It was further agreed that any ‘non-controversial’ text on the FAQ website can be updated when needed and brought to GSSB as a standing item during meetings.

**Monitoring Program**

Tamara Bergkamp, Manager Standards Division, presented on the GRI Standards monitoring program. Ms. Bergkamp informed the GSSB about the key research areas identified by the Standards Division and sought the GSSB’s advice on identifying specific research questions that should be explored as part of the 2017 monitoring process.

The GSSB suggested the Standards Division could consider the following points:

- Investigate the opportunities for engagement and collaboration with the academic community on the research topics.
- Expand the research to cover reporters that do not adopt the GRI Standards.
- Explore linkage to other sustainability initiatives and map the application of these in practice.
- Investigate how the sustainability context principle is applied.
- Assess the extent to which the materiality principle clarification has been embraced and how it is affecting the materiality assessments.
- Conversions of scope: Are companies reporting on the same or different scopes for financial and non-financial reporting?
- Evaluate whether the GRI Standards are driving the change referred to in the vision statement “Enable credible, consistent, and high quality sustainability reporting” E.g. through measuring whether Boards of companies are now reflecting on sustainability.

Ms. Bergkamp presented an overview of the sources of information for feeding the monitoring process that the Standards Division are currently utilizing and welcomed additional suggestions from the GSSB. GSSB members proposed to supplement those with focused interviews with reporters, sustainability rankings, and academic networks.

The Standards Division confirmed that they will share back a more detailed monitoring plan with the GSSB in the second quarter of 2017 and will reach out to individual GSSB members to further explore third party research opportunities.

**Session 1.4: Discuss scope of GRI 201: Economic Performance review**

Ms. Reinhardt presented this session on whether the scope of the review of GRI 201: Economic Performance should be expanded to include the disclosures GRI 202: Market Presence and GRI 203: Indirect Economic Impacts.
The GSSB members were asked for their view on expanding the scope of the review of GRI 201: Economic Performance as outlined above as well as the proposed next steps as outlined in Item 05 - Background on review of GRI 201: Economic Performance p. 5 lines 74-95.

The GSSB discussed:

- A need to review and possibly clarify the conceptual distinction between economic performance and economic impact.
- That inconsistent or incomplete reporting does not necessarily warrant a review; this could also be an indication that the reporters are reluctant to report the requested information.
- A potential mismatch in expectations based on the topic of the standards and the actual disclosure requirements.

The GSSB unanimously agreed to expand the scope of the review of GRI 201: Economic Performance to include the disclosures GRI 202: Market Presence and GRI 203: Indirect Economic Impacts.

The GSSB discussed and agreed that forming a small Technical Committee is probably the best approach for conducting the initial research and scoping the project. However, the outputs of this phase will also need to have sufficient input from other stakeholder groups to ensure it is practical for reporters.

The GSSB agreed that the Standards Division should move ahead with developing a project proposal reflecting the expanded scope of the review project, to be discussed by the GSSB during its virtual meeting in April.

**Development of new content related to tax**

Ms. Reinhardt informed that tax transparency is an increasing important issue within sustainable development, and is of increasing importance to both civil society and the investor community.

Ms. Reinhardt briefed the GSSB members on the possible implications of GRI working on tax disclosures and presented potential options for including the tax-related content in the GRI Standards.

The Chair requested input on whether the Standards Division should proceed research into development of new disclosures related to Tax and Payment to Governments, and whether the GSSB agrees with the next steps outlined in Item 05 - Background on review of GRI 201: Economic Performance p. 8 lines 179-194.

The GSSB members discussed:

- The importance of this topic, including the increasing public pressure for greater tax transparency, the benefits in GRI taking a proactive role, and the close linkages with the SDG agenda.
- The potential implications for GRI in developing disclosures on tax, including the risk that GRI could be perceived as moving into the ‘advocacy’ space or overstepping the role of sustainability reporting.
- To be mindful of the fact that the legislation on reporting related to Taxes and Payments to Governments varies by region.
- The importance of alignment with existing initiatives.
- A need to clearly identify what elements of taxes that will be covered. Existing research can inform this decision.
Increasing market expectations for GRI to be proactive in accommodating ‘emerging’ issues such as tax reporting.

The GSSB asked the Standards Division to prepare a project proposal setting the possible objectives, scope, and recommended next steps, for discussion by the GSSB during its April meeting.

Session 1.5: Close of public meeting Day 1

The Chair thanked the GSSB members, the Standards Division, and the GRI Secretariat and closed Day I of the public meeting at 12.40 to be reconvened at 9.00 on Wednesday 22 February 2017.

Session 1.6: Update GRI Secretariat on SDG Collaboration with UNGC

Teresa Fogelberg, GRI Deputy Chief Executive (CE), and Sabine Content, GRI Deputy Director Corporate and Stakeholder Relations, presented an update on GRI’s collaboration with the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) on the SDGs in a unique two-year partnership (2017-18) to co-create mechanisms for global business transparency and reporting on the SDGs.

Bastian Buck, Director Standards Division, will attend relevant meetings of the project as an observer on behalf of the GSSB and will be reporting back to the GSSB during the May meeting.

Session 1.7: Update GRI Secretariat on Corporate Leadership Group Integrated Reporting

Ms. Content continued to present an update on the Corporate Leadership Group on Integrated Reporting developed and facilitated in collaboration with the International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC).

Session 1.8: Update from GRI Chief Executive - Tim Mohin

Tim Mohin, GRI’s CE, introduced himself to the GSSB and provided an update on GRI’s current activities and priorities.

Mr. Mohin briefed the GSSB on the ongoing refresh of the GRI Strategy around four focus areas: Standards and Guidelines, Harmonization, Efficient and effective reporting, and Effective use of reported information.
Session 1.9: GSSB Discussion on GRI Secretariat Updates

Following the Secretariat updates, the GSSB discussed how the outcome of the GRI/UNGC partnership might have significant implications for the GSSB Work Program and how that can be managed. Mr. Buck suggested that the GSSB discuss the outcomes of the GRI/UNGC collaboration during their regular meetings, including the findings at a target level. This will also provide the GSSB with the opportunity to provide feedback into the process.

Session 1.10: Close of meeting Day 1

The Chair thanked the GSSB members and the attending GRI staff and closed the meeting at 17.00.

Session 2.1: Welcome and review of agenda of Day 2

The Chair welcomed everyone back to the meeting and extended a special welcome to the members of the GRI Due Process Oversight Committee (DPOC), who attended the second day of the meeting as observers. The Chair proceeded to present an overview of the Day 2 meeting agenda.

Session 2.2: Discuss review of Human Rights-related Standards

Laura Espinach, Manager Standards Division, presented this session. Ms. Espinach started with an overview of key developments since the last review of the GRI Human Rights disclosures in 2011.

Three key areas for improvement have been identified via expert interviews and literature review, namely: ensuring further alignment with the UN Guiding Principles, reviewing the scope of human rights covered, and reviewing the topic-specific disclosures. Ms. Espinach proceeded to update the GSSB on a gap analysis between the GRI Standards and the UN Guiding Principles (UNGP) on Business and Human Rights.

The Chair asked the GSSB whether they agree with the identified objectives and key areas for improvements for the review of the human rights-related contents.

The GSSB discussed the following points:

- Some key concepts introduced with the UNGP (e.g. due diligence, saliency, and business relationships) have implications that go beyond human rights. These concepts should thus be considered in a broader context beyond the Human Rights-related Standards.
- The expectation that organizations should still have a due diligence process in place even if they have not identified human-rights topics as material.
• The benefits in carrying out consultation with reporters to understand their current reporting practices on human rights. For example, low reporting levels of the GRI human rights disclosures may be due to the fact that companies do not know how to identify and report on human rights-related impacts, rather than a problem with the disclosures.

• The possibility of developing a matrix showing which human rights are covered in each GRI Standard.

• The benefits of considering additional frameworks as input for this work, including the SDGs and the Dow Jones Sustainability Index questionnaire.

The GSSB agreed to move ahead with the proposed review project outlined in Item 09, however with an expanded scope that will explore the feasibility of applying key concepts of the UNGP to other areas of the Standards beyond just human rights. In addition, the GSSB agreed for the Standards Division to carry out consultation with reporting organizations to better understand current reporting practices related to Human Rights impacts.

Ms. Reinhardt confirmed that the Standards Division will develop a project proposal that reflects the scope and approach, as agreed by the GSSB during this discussion. The Standards Division will share this with the GSSB for comment during the April virtual meeting. Further, the Standards Division will consult with reporters regarding their human rights reporting practices as advised.

Session 2.3: Discuss TCFD draft recommendations and implications for GRI Standards

Mr. Buck presented an overview of GRI’s submission to the TCFD draft recommendation as well as a gap analysis indicating that 8 out of the 11 recommended areas from the TCFD are at least partially covered by the GRI Standards.

The GSSB discussed:

• That this is an important development to follow and specific references to GRI are desired.

• This is the first time that the financial community addresses climate change and other sustainability issues. It is a practical document and includes positive elements such as a clear division between climate change transition risks and physical risks, scenario planning, and strong emphasis on governance.

• It is positive that the recommendations from the TCFD expand the definition of risk and includes it in a business context/language.

• It may be useful to consider universal concepts related to climate change.

The GSSB recommended the Standards Division to follow the developments as well as the uptake by regulators closely. The GSSB and Standards Division should await the final document but take preparatory steps to publish a linkage guidance shortly thereafter.

Mr. Buck confirmed that the Standards Division will monitor the TCFD developments and will provide an update for discussion later in the year, including any proposed actions such as developing a guidance or linkage document.
Session 2.4: Discuss prioritization of standard setting projects

Mr. Buck shared that the initial GSSB work portfolio was mostly composed of projects committed to in earlier years like the Transition to Standards. To identify and prioritize projects going forward, it is necessary to develop a clear process that is responsive to the expectations set out in the GSSB Due Process Protocol (DPP) Section 4 and 5 pp. 2-3.

The Chair sought the GSSB members initial view on a possible process and summarized the feedback into four areas to be further explored:

- Organizational monitoring.
- Developing a set of a few design principles to help frame the GSSB debate.
- Having regular open exchange among GSSB members to understand and exchange views on external developments as a part of strategic planning.
- Invite external speakers to present during GSSB meeting.

Mr. Buck agreed that the process for identifying and prioritizing projects going forward would benefit from organizational monitoring and the development of specific criteria. This process could include a Public Comment element as well as the identification and engagement of specific strategic partners interested in the GRI Standards development.

The Standards Division will research the scope for consideration of national/international developments and bring it to the GSSB for discussion during the September in-person meeting.

Session 2.5: Update on current GRI Standard Reviews: GRI 303: Water and GRI 403: Occupational Health and Safety

Ms. Reinhardt presented this session and provided an overview of the project schedules and timelines for the Water and OHS Working Groups.

The Standards Division proposed a feedback process to keep the GSSB informed of the PWG developments including a “PWG Update” scheduled as a standing item during the upcoming GSSB meetings. Additionally, GSSB sponsors will observe the PWG meetings and reflect back to the GSSB on the PWGs developments and trends.

The GSSB agreed with the proposed feedback process to the GSSB.

Human Rights Element of GRI 303: Water Review

Following the 7 March GSSB virtual meeting, the Standards Division has reviewed the human rights elements in GRI 303: Water and confirmed that this issue is adequately covered by existing language in the project proposal around major developments and international instruments.

The Standards Division recommended to keep the Project Proposal wording as is while committed to ensure that right to water is captured as a key theme for review during the first PWG meeting.

The GSSB unanimously agreed with the process as proposed by the Standards Division.
Expert review webinars for GRI 303: Water and GRI 403: OHS Projects

Candidates for PWGs that were not selected for the working groups have indicated to the Standards Division that they are happy to participate in alternate consultation/feedback opportunities.

The Standards Division proposes to host a dedicated ‘expert’ webinar for each of the current review projects (Water and OHS) in advance of the Public Comment Period. The feedback received during this webinar would then feed into the PWG process and would not have any special status.

The GSSB agreed with the proposed feedback process to the GSSB.

The GSSB further advised to engage with individuals that were approached to apply for the PWGs but did not have the capacity to apply.

The GSSB also restated the need to get contributions from the regions underrepresented on the PWG during the Public Comment Period.

Session 2.6: Review GSSB Workplan

Mr. Buck introduced this session to review whether anything emerging from the last two-days discussions fundamentally changes the GSSB Work Program, and thus, results in a reprioritization of the ongoing and planned projects.

Both related to GRI 201: Economic Performance review and the review of the Human Rights related Standards, the GSSB requested additional research as well as a broadening of the scopes, and this needs to be accounted for in the resource allocation plan for the next Financial Year (FY). However, this will not effectively change the Work Program at this point.

Session 2.7: AOB

The Chair presented this session to follow up on items deriving from the meeting and cover any other business.

Assurance and credibility mechanisms

The GSSB revisited the discussion on GRI/GSSB’s role in relation to assurance and credibility mechanisms.

The GSSB agreed that further clarification is needed and asked the Standards Division to develop a proposal and conduct further research including consultation of external experts to inform the GSSB’s decisions. The GSSB agreed that the focus of future activities should include but have a wider scope than assurance/verification activities by accountants.

Mr. Buck informed that due to the limited resources available, the Standards Division cannot carry out active research on the assurance issue over the next few months, but will bring this issue back to the GSSB for further discussion at the September meeting.
Collaboration with third parties on research

Mr. Buck informed that additional research is needed to inform the work of the GSSB within the existing Work Program. The Standards Division has seen growing interest from universities and independent researchers to contribute.

The GSSB suggested as a starting point, that the Standards Division identifies two specific research questions to be published as general requests for research with a clear indication of the recognition offered in exchange.

AOB

The Chair informed that a Doodle poll will be circulated to the GSSB members with the aim of scheduling an additional GSSB virtual meeting in July to potentially discuss/approve exposure draft(s) for public exposure deriving from the PWGs.

Further, the Chair confirmed that the location of the GSSB in-person meeting in September 2017 is to be determined but Amsterdam is the default choice considering costs and the technical setup required to livestream the meetings. The Chair invited proposals from the GSSB members.

The Chair formally thanked the former GSSB members who departed by 31 December 2016.

Session 2.8: Close of Public Meeting Day 2

The Chair thanked the GSSB members, the members of the DPOC, the Standards Division, and the GRI Secretariat and closed Day 2 of the public meeting at 15.10 CET.

Session 2.9: GSSB Reflection Session

The Chair thanked the Standards Division for their hard and diligent work and invited the GSSB members to present any reflections following the meeting.

The GSSB discussed possibilities for increasing the level of technical expertise within the Standards Division and recommended that it would be beneficial if Standards team-members could participate in secondments to get hands-on experience in the reporting process. The Standards Division encouraged the GSSB members to get in touch with any specific ideas or proposals.

The GSSB further recommended to develop a communication plan in parallel to the GSSB Work Program to increase the communication around the work of the GSSB.

Session 2.10: Close of Meeting Day 2

The Chair thanked the GSSB members and the attending GRI staff and closed the meeting at 16.10.
Decisions and Action Items

DECISIONS

Session 1.1:

GSSB Decision 2017.05 The GSSB approved the summary of the meeting held 23 February 2017 with no further changes.

GSSB Decision 2017.06 The GSSB approved the summary of the meeting held 7 March 2017 with no further changes.

GSSB Decision 2017.07 The GSSB approved the appointment of the remaining labor candidate for the OHS PWG.

The GSSB supported the decisions by consensus.

Session 1.4:

GSSB Decision 2017.08 The GSSB agreed to expand the scope of the review of GRI 201: Economic Performance to include the disclosures GRI 202: Market Presence and GRI 203: Indirect Economic Impacts.

The GSSB supported the decision by consensus.

Session 2.5:

GSSB Decision 2017.09 The GSSB agree with the proposal keep the GRI 303: Water Project Proposal wording as is, provided that the Standards Division ensures that right to water is captured as a key theme for review during the first PWG meeting.

The GSSB supported the decision by consensus.

Actions

Governance:

Session 1.6:

- Table an update on the GRI/UNGC partnerships and possible implications for the GSSB during the May GSSB virtual meeting.
- Table a discussion on GRI alignment with other reporting frameworks and engagement with Stock Exchanges during a GSSB meeting in the second quarter of 2017.

Session 2.7:

- Circulate a Doodle poll to the GSSB members to schedule an additional GSSB virtual meeting in July.

Standards Division:

Session 1.2:

- Present a tool proposal related to SMEs GRI referenced reporting during the September GSSB in-person meeting.
• Schedule periodic updates from Tim Mohin on the ongoing conversation in Corporate Reporting Dialogue.

**Session 1.3:**

• Develop and share back with the GSSB, for information, a more detailed GRI Standards monitoring program within the next few months.
• Reach out to individual GSSB members to further explore third party research collaboration opportunities.

**Session 1.4:**

• Develop a project proposal for the review of GRI 201: Economic Performance, GRI 202: Market Presence, and GRI 203: Indirect Economic Impacts to clarify the expanded scope and recommend next steps, for discussion during the GSSB meeting on 26 April.
• Prepare a project proposal related to the development of new disclosures on tax and payment to government, for discussion during the GSSB meeting on 26 April.

**Session 2.2:**

• Develop a project proposal for the review of the human rights-related Standards that incorporates the additional objectives discussed at the meeting, for review by the GSSB during the virtual meeting on 26 April.
• Carry out consultation with reporters on their human rights reporting practices.

**Session 2.3:**

• Follow the development of the TCFD as well as the uptake by regulators closely and report back to the GSSB later in the year with any proposed actions, such as a proposal to develop a linkage document or related guidance.

**Session 2.4:**

• Research the scope for consideration of national/international developments and bring it to the GSSB for discussion during the September in-person meeting.

**Session 2.5:**

• Keep the GSSB informed on the developments in the PWGs on GRI 303: Water and GRI 403: OHS in line with the proposed feedback process.
• Ensure that right to water is captured as a key theme for review during the first PWG meeting.
• Host a dedicated webinar in advance of the Public Comment Period for the PWG candidates that were not selected or those who were approached but did not apply.
• Investigate alternate options to get contributions from the regions underrepresented on the PWG during the Public Comment Period.

**Session 2.7:**

• Carry out initial scoping work on the assurance/credibility issue to present back to the GSSB for further discussion in September 2017.
• Investigate the potential for involving third parties in research; identify two specific research questions to be published as general requests for research with a clear indication of the recognition offered in exchange.
GSSB:

Session 1.4:

- Contact the Standards Division, if they have any relevant organizations to propose for a Technical Committee or PWG for the review of GRI 201: Economic Performance, GRI 202: Market Presence, and GRI 203: Indirect Economic Impacts.

Session 2.7:

- If applicable, provide proposals for the location of the September GSSB in-person meeting considering the related cost implications and the technical requirements for livestreaming.

Session 2.9:

- Get in touch with any specific ideas or proposals for Standards Division team members hands-on experience in the reporting process.